

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,097	04/06/2001	Nobuaki Ono	205447US2	5017
22850	7590 01/28/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			CHERRY, E	EUNCHA P
	SIREEI UA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, · · ·		2872	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

g. mar	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/827,097	ONO ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	EUNCHA P. CHERRY	2872						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.						
NOTE:								
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment						
□ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly						
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ol>								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer								
10. Other:	(1)(1 1 = 1 1 1 2) 1 = por 110(0)	<del></del> -						
		EUNCHA P. CHERRY Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2872						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: at least while a series of discrete locations would provide less adjustability than infinite locations of Naiki et al, one would be motivated to choose the reduced adjustability in order to make the adjustment faster and easier by eliminating free movement of the lens. Therefore, the rejection is deemed proper.





U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		EX		EXAMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				01132005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Applicant's representative contacted the office requesting a new advisory action to be issued, which corrects the period for reply. The new advisory action is attached to this office communication.

EUNCHA P. CHERRY Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2872